

Evaluation Sheet

Seminar/Course *Introduction to Process Tracing*
Instructor *Dr Hilde van Meegdenburg*
Date *8 - 9 May 2018*
Number of participants 10
Number of evaluation sheets 7

1. Affiliation

GIGA DP Fellow 2
 GIGA DP Associate 3
 University of Hamburg 0
 Other 2
 N/A 0

2. Research Programme

2
 FSP 1 3
 FSP 2 2
 FSP 3 0
 FSP 4 0
 Other 0
 N/A 2

3. Start of Dissertation

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | N/A |
| | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

4. Sex

female 3
 male 4
 no statement 0

5. Have you previously participated in other seminars on a similar subject?

no 7
 yes: 0

6. How did you get to know about the seminar?

GIGA Website 0
 Recommendation from colleagues or supervisor 1
 DP Newsletter 2
 DP mailing list/other GIGA mailing list 4
 UHH Wiso Grad School 0
 other: 0

The average value takes the weighted average of each item's score. 5 is the highest and the "best" ("strongly agree") value and 1 the lowest ("strongly disagree"). The "traffic light" icons next to each average provide a quicker overview about the numbers:

Green: >= 4
 Yellow: < 4 to >= 3
 Red: < 3 to >= 2
 Black: < 2

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | N/A | Average | N (with N/A) | N (without N/A) |
| | strongly agree | agree | neither agree nor disagree | disagree | strongly disagree | | | | |

7. Organization

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) course outline (email/website) comprised all necessary information. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |
| b) The registration for the seminar was easy. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |
| c) The room was suitable for the event. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |

8. Structure of the seminar

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) The course covered all of the announced topics. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |
| b) Procedure and timeline of the seminar were transparent. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |

9. Objectives of the seminar

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) The degree of difficulty was appropriate. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |
| b) I was able to follow and participate in the discussion. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,86 | 7 | 7 |
| c) My knowledge about the topic improved through the seminar. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |
| d) I am able to work on the topic on my own now. | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,57 | 7 | 7 |
| e) The attendance of the seminar was relevant for my current research. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,86 | 7 | 7 |

10. Didactic approach and presentation

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) The provided seminar materials were helpful (e.g. script, reader). | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,86 | 7 | 7 |
| b) The amount of transferred knowledge was appropriate. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |
| c) The lecturer included suggestions and experiences of the participants in a suitable way. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |
| d) The lecturer communicated and moderated well. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,86 | 7 | 7 |
| e) The applied methods have been suitable for the objectives of the seminar. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |

11. Learning atmosphere

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) The group size was suitable. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |
| b) I learned from the knowledge/experience of the other participants. | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,29 | 7 | 7 |
| c) The atmosphere was pleasant. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,86 | 7 | 7 |

12. Overall assessment

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| a) It was worthwhile to participate in the seminar. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |
| b) The seminar is an important part of the GIGA Doctoral Programme. | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 4,71 | 7 | 7 |
| c) I can recommend the seminar to my colleagues. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ● 5,00 | 7 | 7 |

13. What did you like especially?

the lecturer did a very good job teaching the method in a way one gets the feeling to be able to use it. She related all the points to the participants' research; the lecturer conveyed the key messages and relevant information in a very clear and concrete way. good time management and dynamic, interesting lecture method; pedagogical way; linking process tracing directly to our research projects; she was open to the method, she was challenging us, good instructions, very positive;

14. What didn't you like? Please state your input!

more time would be amazing as it is a rich topic

15. Please write any other comment you would like to communicate to the lecturer.

thank you very much for the insights and the food of thought; the Sherlock Holmes exercise (as well as applying the method to our own project) was extremely helpful to understand the workings of the method, Thank you!

14. Do you have any additional comments and/or suggestions you like to communicate to the organizers of the seminar (Academic Director and Coordinator of the GIGA Doctoral Programme)?

the seminar could last more days in order to apprehend more aspects and applications of the method; I hope Hilde will come to GIGA again and teach this